

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 19 remain in the application. Claims 3-18, 21-22 and 27 have been cancelled. Claims 20, 23-26 and 28-39 have been withdrawn.

In the Office Action of May 29, 2008, the Examiner issued a restriction requirement and indicated that claims 1 and 19 are generic and claims 20, 23-26 and 28-39 were directed towards seven different inventive concepts under PCT Rule 13.1. In response to the restriction requirement, the applicant elects Group I which includes claim 2.

Claims 20, 23-26 and 28-39 each depend from linking claim 19. Under MPEP 800, if the linking claim 19 is allowable, the restriction requirement between the linked inventions of claims 20, 23-26 and 28-39 must be withdrawn and these claims must be fully examined for patentability.

The applicant respectfully requests that the election requirement be removed. The applicant also requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP

Dated: August 28, 2008

By: /s/ Todd A Noah  
Todd A. Noah  
Reg. No. 35,626

Attorneys for Applicant  
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1450  
San Francisco, California 94111  
(415) 705-6377